

Restriction Classes, Bitches

Hey everyone! Stop
saying "bitches" so much!



It's OWL learning time

- OWL lets you define properties
 - OWL lets you control what the property can apply to
 - OWL lets you control the values the property can take
 - OWL provides an easy way to do this
 - OWL provides a really confusing way to do this
-
- The easy way is usually wrong

You wrote **Domain** – did you *mean bitches* ?

- What can this property apply to?
 - Everything that eats something is an Animal .
 - `ObjectPropertyDomain (<&ex;eat>
<&ex;#Animal>)`
- This applies to *every* use of the property

You wrote **Range** – did you *mean* *bitches* ?

- You can define range restrictions
 - What kind of values can it take?
 - Everything that is eaten by something is a FoodStuff .
 - `ObjectPropertyRange (<&ex;eat>
<&ex;#Animal>)`
- This applies to *every* use of the property

What if different Things have different constraints?

- How can we say that “Everything that a Weasel eats is an Egg.”?
- We have to say that Objects that are the value of eat for any Weasel are a subclass of Egg.
- Sound complicated?

Restriction Classes, Bitches

$\exists \text{eat}^{-1}. \text{Weasel} \sqsubseteq \text{Egg}$

```
SubClassOf (  
  ObjectSomeValuesFrom (  
    ObjectInverseOf (<&ex; #eat>  
    <&ex; #Weasel>)  
  <&ex; #Egg>)  
)
```

Or... Attempto, Bitches

- Everything that eats something is an Animal .
- Everything that is eaten by something is a FoodStuff .
- Everything that a Weasel eats is an Egg.

<http://attempto.ifi.uzh.ch/site/>