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## Multiple winner election
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- 'Majoritarian': top-rated talks are chosen
- with no representation for small parties.
- Each voter is given unlimited votes, and can assign them 0-3 for each talk.
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## Makes no sense

This makes no sense.
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- Electing $n$ winners.
- Each voter gets $n$ votes to divide among candidates, 1 per candidate.
- Highest votes win.
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## Cumulative voting example

## Cumulative Ballot

| You may offer up to 3 votes. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | Joe Smith |  |
| Henry Ford |  |  |
| Mane Doe |  |  |

## RESULT:

2 votes for Ford 1 vote for Hill
(from Wikipedia)
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## What now?

If everybody follows this strategy,
c4l voting is reduced to plurality-at-large, because everybody will do this.

- Limit points users can assign (to number of candidates)
- and/or only allow users to give one vote (point) to each talk
- Or adopt a proportional representation system.


## Further reading

Szpiro, George. Numbers Rule: The Vexing Mathematics of Democracy. Princeton, 2010.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voting_systems

